

Michael R. Thomas, Customer No. 80945, Michael R. Thomas Inventing Consultant
189 Evergreen Road, North Fort Myers, FL 33903

Mail Stop Petitions,

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, FAX 571-273-8300

Subject: Regarding 12 Non-Provisional Patent Filings (Abandonment Non-Status)

My company, established in 2005, is having problems obtaining customer interest in obtaining pre-seed stage investment. We are therefore unable to hire clerical workers in order to file responses to avoid abandonment status of our on file patent applications. We are very interested in not having our patent applications considered to be abandoned because of circumstances outside of our control. We would like to request that a believed normal statute of limitations concerning the reassignment of our intellectual property to other individuals should not occur because of the extenuating circumstances in our case. I feel that my indigency is sufficient in order to meet this requirement and I feel that it would be a very fraudulent theft for the patent office to steal the intellectual property and award it to wealthy corporations that have sufficient funds in order to develop them. In essence, your willingness to reassign the intellectual property will cause what should have been our customers to wait out the three year time period and receive the intellectual property for free. This non-collaborative USPTO attitude is sufficient enough to destroy the incentive to create of the invention conceiver. Thereby, destroying human advancement in all categories and violating our civil rights concerning our participation in this free market system of capitalism. We also see terrible injustices in the system in other areas of the system including a lack of inventor security which creates a dangerous atmosphere for the world's top inventor. This has led to some 10,000 patents, copyrightable, and trademark able, items to be stolen from myself. I'm also having health concerns which are causing me not be able to complete my office functions as I used to. If I had the funding, I could likely be giving new patent conceptions to employees for patent filing and development them in partnership agreements. Our 10,000 top marketable patent conceptions that we have produced in the past have been the driving force of the U.S. industrial dominance since 1954 and now at this critical time when new jobs are needed for economic recovery, we find that the 50 years of inventor thefting policies and associated bureaucratic corruption is causing the shutdown of our great system. Clearly, the American Invents Act is simply a cruel joke created by thieves and industrialist. We would request that these patents be placed in a status of inactive and any intellectual property contained in them be preserved from any unauthorized usage for twenty years plus if necessary or until funding and collaborative partnerships allow us to sell, license, or develop, the intellectual property. We are finding that the concept of declaring patents obvious is grossly faulted because there is only one person that is capable of producing the invention and therefore without that conception, the item would be permanently unavailable along with all of the jobs created from the existence of that item. The theory that someone else would invent it in just a few years completely falls apart when you study the history of my top marketable inventions by using invention clusters to establish proximitous times and locations of conception and "first to file" persons. We also find it very dangerous and offensive to the world's great inventors that the concept of not requiring an oath will allow murder and thefting from top inventors which the U.S. is attempting to attract in this country, obviously disgusting corruption. The possibility of my patents already having been disqualified from issue due to technical imperfections is another reason why the U.S. economic engine is now at a virtual stand still, therefore, this qualification is not in best interest of justice and our society and in the best interest of our citizens. Several years ago, I requested an investigation into the validity of my claim of being the actual conceiver of the top 10,000 marketable inventions since 1953. I haven't heard anything back about the limited reissuance proposal that I mailed to congress concerning a special licensing only patent reissuance spread over a twenty period. Please let me know if there has been any progressive activity regarding the reissuance acceptance.

Sincerely, Michael R. Thomas